

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,139	01/28/2004	Katsuhiko Yamazaki	248184US0	9716
22850	7590 06/06/2006		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			KOSLOW,	CAROL M
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1755	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/765,139	YAMAZAKI ET AL.
merview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	C. Melissa Koslow	1755
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>C. Melissa Koslow</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>D. Evans</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 May 2006.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u>□</u> No.	
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: On Continue to		if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
	ź.	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required

The office action of 1/25/06 was entered into the office's PALM system as Final. It should have been a NON-FINAL action. Due to the PTO's error, applicants' amendment of 4/24/06 was treated as an after final amendment and an advisory action was mailed. The Examiner contacted Mr. Evans and told him due to the office's error, the advisory action will be withdrawn and the amendment will be entered into the PALM system and treated as a non-final action. The Examiner told Mr. Evans he did not need to do or submit anything, since the amendment was timely and the delay is the PTO's fault.

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

********* TX REPORT ****

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO

2154

RECIPIENT ADDRESS

97034132220

DESTINATION ID

05/31 23:39

ST. TIME TIME USE

01'02

PAGES SENT

RESULT

OK



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Fax Cover Sheet

Date: 31 May 2006

To: D. Evans	From: C. Melissa Koslow	
Application/Control Number: 10/765,139	Art Unit: 1755	
Fax No.: 703-413-2220	Phone No.: (571) 272-1371	
Voice No.: 703-413-2737	Return Fax No.: (571) 273-8300	
Re:	CC:	
Urgent For Review For Com	nent For Reply Per Your Request	

attached is the interview summary indicating the correct status of this case.

05/31/2006 23:40 F	AX 703 306 3186	USPT0	Ø 001
	*	********	
		** TX REPORT ***	
	**	**********	
	TRANSMISSION OK		
	MY (DY MO	0154	
	TX/RX NO	2154	
	RECIPIENT ADDRESS	97034132220	
	DESTINATION ID		
	ST. TIME	05/31 23:39	
1	TIME USE	01'02	
	PAGES SENT	4	

OK



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.upbogov

Fax Cover Sheet

RESULT

Date: 31 May 2006

From: C. Melissa Koslow
Art Unit: 1755
Phone No.: (571) 272-1371
Return Fax No.: (571) 273-8300
CC:
mment For Reply Per Your Request

Comments:

attached is the interview summary indicating the correct status of this case.



Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Fax Cover Sheet

Date: 31 May 2006 To: D. Evans From: C. Melissa Koslow Application/Control Number: 10/765,139 Art Unit: 1755 Fax No.: 703-413-2220 Phone No.: (571) 272-1371 Voice No.: 703-413-2737 **Return Fax No.:** (571) 273-8300 Re: CC: **Urgent** For Review **For Comment For Reply Per Your Request** Comments: attached is the interview summary indicating the correct status of this case.

Number of pages including this page

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This facsimile transmission is an Official U.S. Government document which may contain information which is privileged and confidential. It is intended only for use of the recipient named above. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited. If this document is received in error, you are requested to immediately notify the sender at the above indicated telephone number and return the entire document in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450